GPB—15,000—22-7-14

X--22-12-08

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-1897
~~191~~.
(Mezzrai)

File No. 52

Section II

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month July 1906

SUBJECT.

Government order directing the Assumption by Government in the Mezzrai department of Sri Liddadi Gebadar a Sarvani Temple at Zedigon Village, Kunigal Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Mezz 362-22-1-10

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.

Mismanagement of the Sri Siddulingeswaraswami temple at-

LAST REFERENCE:— Gudigam

Letter No. 552 dated 4th May 1904 from the Dy Commissioner Tumkur District.

The Dy Comr's letter gives all the details of mismanagement of the temple appears by the present Enquiries.

The temple is already a Mangai Institution, being endowed with land Inams. A printed copy of the Govt order on the subject is put up. There seems therefore no objection in bringing the temple affairs under the direct supervision of the Collector Assistant, who will

attend to the proper scrutiny
income and expenditure, after
obtaining definite orders from
Government.

The Dy Comrs may also be requested
at once
to recover all the temple jewels
from the Rajaruna —

Some of the Zamindars are
reported to be in the enjoyment of
rent free lands. Steps may also be
taken to recover them —

Submitted for orders

Rs
15/5/84

Subd to the decree

The Dy Commr's letter contains
a clear summary of the facts of the
case. In 1882 and 1886, the Dy
Commr's represented to interfere in
the affairs of the temple on the ground
that it was not a Zamindari temple,
apparently losing sight of the City
Commr's ruling contained in Pro
No 1591 Ql 41 of the 16th May 1877
(reaffirmed in G No 223—30 put up.
of the 6th August 1896). The

them, in all 146 acres, in Inam lands bearing a total assessment of Rs. 108-4 a the Jodi payable on which is Rs. 30-1- a. The Dy. Commr's order in 1852 and 1886 handed to Gossai management with impunity the now on the part of the pujaris, who have been looking upon the temple income as a sort of family benefice. In the absence of an express order, that the temple was a hereditary institution, the Amildar on appearance of his report felt that he could not put pressure to compel the on the pujaris to disclose the real state of affairs. They evaded screening the Amildar the temple ornaments, when asked to do so, pleading that the key of the box containing them was with one of their pujaris partners who was not in the station. The Amildar says in his report that this was a fictitious story. It is noticed as a blot on the

(4)

income of the temple is, by
which is increased to Rs
that is during a period of 8
months, from January 1885
to May 1886, there was an
income from Kanikē alone
of Rs 241-1-4 in cash and
Rs 2433-6-0 in the shape
of jewels, total Rs 2674-5-4. (Vide Auditor's Report
during the annual confession
the temple is also said to get
an income of Rs 500 or Rs 600.
~~Besides~~ Besides these, there
is also the income from the
Devi lands. I submit therefore
that the management of the
temple may be ordered to be
placed under the Auditor
of Kurnigal Pettah for the present year and a detailed
report on the income of the
temple and of its affairs generally
called for in order to enable
Govt to regulate its final
management

&c.,

1775
17.V.04.

J 16 5 04

30.V.04

Rec'd
RV
31/5/04

Draft Submitted

1902

No. 1613

Name of Petitioner Nagusandra Ahmad Sattu

Village & Sheris Yedyur

Taluk Kunigal

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 4-12-02

Date of Receipt 6-12-02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil.



27/12/02

ABSTRACT

Petn states that the Siddeswara temple of Yedyur is endowed with an Inam land assessed at Rs 100 and a money tax of 6 as 5 pies, which from the time of Inam Settlement in 67-70, is being deducted as Jodi The total sum realized for the temple from the produce of 3 Appe Topes, the Inam lands and also from silk and laced cloths shawls &c presented by devotees on "Rathotsava" days amounts annually to 1,500 or 2000 Rs The business of the temple viz appropriate among themselves all the money derived from the above sources and have mortgaged some of the Golden & silver jewels of the temple. They also, for their own private benefit give the palanquin

MR GDB 5 005 10-11-02

of the temple
Marriage ceremonies. The beams
& pillars of the temple having
fallen, & in want of repairs,
but the injuries have so far
neglected to occupy but repairs
but it will now be an expense of
20 or 25 thousand ^ to repair it in
future. The present neglected
condition of the temple is due mainly
to the embezzlement, on its managers,
of the very people who were so
selfishly misappropriating its
property. The injuries meditated
the devotees so much that the
latter go away without performing
their devotions or "Kathochavas."
The late Amildar or Government
Naib who knew the mischievous
nature of the pujaries, had
instituted a Panchayat, ~~an~~ which,
during its short existence, had
managed the temple regularly, but
as it acted as a check upon their
selfish actions, the pujaries superseded
it. If the Govt takes the Inam
lands together with the cash
under the sole management
& pay the temple servants only their
salaries, or if the Govt, leaving the
lands in the hands of Pujaries
take charge of money, not only
a saving of 2 or 3 hundred will
be effected to Govt, but the affairs
of the temple will go on smoothly
Peters will prove the truth of the
above statement of an officer
to Govt, without previous intimation
to pujaries, to inspect the temple
on the next "Kathochava" occurring
in the month of "Chaitra" and
to examine its receipts & disbursements.

Pray that, for the above reasons,
the temple may be taken under
Govt managements & pujaries
be relieved from the difficulties
inconveniences they suffer

1613 R
8-12-1902

1094

1613

No 15548 & 15555

D. 12.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1094
dated 4.12.02 received at the
Dewan's Office from Vagasandra
appiah setti & others
stating that Pujaries
of the Yediyur Siddeswara
temple misappropriate
among themselves all
the income derived to the temple
is forwarded, ~~together with~~ its
~~enclosures~~, to the Dy Comr
Tumkur District,
for ~~Report~~ enquiry
and disposal

By Order,

Bangalore,
18 } Dy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muz Dept

Ends to be dy

1902-03

No. 2358

Name of Petitioner Settara Sala Setti
2) Sidda Basavaiya

Village and others of Yedayur

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 12.3.03

Date of Receipt 21.3.03

Date of D sposal

No. of Enclosure nil

22-12-20

3-03

We correspond to the Mysore Branch in Criminal Cases.

27-3-03

1-4-03
1622

ABSTRACT

State that the temple of Sidda Lingeswara Swami of Yedayur is being mismanaged by the trustee; that for this complaint to Govt on 4.12.02, they were imprisoned by order that the petn had been sent to the Dy Comr for enquiry and disposal; that the Dy Comr however, has done nothing in the matter.

Pray for issue of order to take the temple under Govt management.

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Ss 2/6/3

2358R

ಸುಪ್ರೀಂಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಆದೇಶ ದಿನಾಂಕ 21-3-03

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರ ನಡವಳಿಕೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ

2358 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ

Govt.

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE,~~

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2358

The accompanying Petition No. 1622

dated 12th March 03 received at the Muzrai Secretariat ~~Dewan's Office~~ from Nagalanda Hosiah Setti, Siddabasaviah and others of Yediyur Kunigal Taluk complaining against the Archak of Siddalingeswara Swami at Yediyur

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the

Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District in Continuation of this Office Memo No. 1554 Dated 2nd February 1903 with a request that the petitioners may be dispensed of enq

By Order,

BKC

20/7/03

BANGALORE,

18 .

by ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muz. Deptt

Govt letters

Ks
1577/03

Muz 5.]

MYSORE MUZRA DEPT.

ನಂ 2153.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣಕಚೇರಿ
ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

[illegible]
ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ
[illegible]
ನಿವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ 1903 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಪ್ರಾಣಹಿ

12 ನೇ ಮರ್ಕುಂ 2358/1622 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು
[illegible]
ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಇದೆ

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 7 / ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜುಲೈ 1903 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಸೊಕ್ಕಾಂ [illegible]

By Order,

Deputy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muzrai department

Dy.

Ks
26/7/03



Read 5/5/04

1904 84

From The Deputy Commissioner Dharwar Dt

To The Secy to Govt of Bombay Educl Dept

GA
Rejas
Ks
7/5/04

Dated 2nd
Despatched 4th } May 1904
Received

General No. 552.
No.

Enclosure No. 3 Pdrs + 2 registers

× sent as per separate packet.

Submd for orders
Ks
14/5/04

Acknl Memo No.
1554 dt 2nd & 3rd Feby 04

Submitting in detail
report on the petition of
Vijayasandra Appeal
and others re Mmo
Conduct of the Inspectress
of Girls Schools Dharwar
Municipal Taluk

Head of the
Station

Trichy, Dec 29/1914

From

K. Ramanathan Iyer Esq
Offg Deputy Commissioner,
Trichy District.

To

The Hon'ble Rao Bahadur M. A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maha Rajah
of Pudukottai Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memoranda Nos. 1554 and 2024 dated 2nd February and 21st July 1908 respectively, forwarding for enquiry and disposal two petitions from Vaiyavendra Appiah and others complaining of the misconduct of the pujaries of the Sri Siddhalingeswara Swamy temple at Vedaiyar, Kunigal Taluk, in respect of the income derived by the temple, I have the honor to submit as follows:

The temple of Sree Siddhalingeswara Swamy is situated at Vedaiyar, Kunigal Taluk, and is endowed

	Survey No										
edeyan	3	Dry	28	18	1	12	"		6	"	
	29	Do	5	10	5	"	"		1	2	"
	59	Do	1	31	1	9	"		4	"	
	62	Do	1	32	1	8	"		1		
	78	Do	16	24	11	"	"		2	8	"
	85	Do	6	4	6	"	"		1	13	"
	68	Do	14	20	11	"	"		"	"	"
	69	Do	24	27	20	"	"		"	"	"
	73	Do	3	33	2	8	4		"	"	"
	74	Do	21	20	11	"	"		"	"	"
	89	Do	23	25	12	"	"		12	"	"
Thaggi Kuppe	30	Dry and wet	6	6	12	"	"				
	52	Wet	1	39	9	"	"		11	"	"
	58	Do	"	10	1	"	"				
Kaji halle	36	Dry and Wet	14	4	-	"	"				
					108	4			30	1	

Rs 5-13-2 will be deducted on account of the Money allowance and the Cash Tasdik will also be deducted on account of the Money allowance mentioned above as confirmed.

Reserved to be Survaimmiyam lands

Though no mention of cash Tasdik is made in the Ready Money Quam Register a sum of Rupees 5-13-2 is deducted from the Quitrent payable on the Quam Lands on account of Money allowance (vide Remark entered in the Mooyai Quitrent

Kyoto Hon of the
Sec
Tokio Tokio, Dec

Respected Sir.

There at present Key, Archaka, attendance known as Shinto, performing the Pooja by turn and enjoying the Income landed in proportion to their respective shares in the Pooja both of these Persons Viz. Bhandy are tops of Appé and other trees, the produce of which goes to the temple.

3 Petitioners being prevented to their office by the inhabitants of Pudiyur, Palé oor and Kutti, since 1902 complaining that the temple affairs are mismanaged by the Archaks and praying that the temple may be taken over under Government management

4 Sec submitting the record of enquiry regarding the several allegations made against the Archaks, the Amildar of Kumgal states (paper no 46 in file A) that

relates to the office of the
Asst. Deputy Commissioner
[illegible] to the Hand-
[illegible] which
they had interpreted by new ones
5. Upon complaints against the
Archaka in the year 1882 the Assist-
ant to the Taluk sent up a report
to the Deputy Commissioner and
by an order no 1290 dated 26th July
1882 the Deputy Commissioner de-
-clined interference with the Archaka
on the ground that the temple was
not a Muzrai institution. The mis-
-management of the affairs of the temple
by the Archaka having by now become
the subject of an enquiry in the
Taluk in the year 1885 the then
Amildar appointed an Agent
and five Panchayetdars and
ordered 2 registers to be maintained
one for exhibiting the accounts of
Jewels &c made by devotees and
the other for cash transactions.

Forwarded Office of the
Sec
Ballarat, Vic

Despatch
1881 re
Temple Reserves
Section 15, 1 of the 16th May 1877
declares that Temples enjoying or ?
Land Revenue are not much subject
to the supervision of the Local Mining
Officers in those enjoying Ready
Money grants

7 In the present instance, the
Temple enjoys Crown Lands Revenue
as a deduction of Rupees 5-13-2
from the Quitrent, which may be
regarded as a substitute for money
grant

8 In these circumstances, they
be recommend that the Temple
Reserves be under Crown control
Management.

9 The files (marked A, Board
C) of the Circular Records Re-
-lating to the case, as also the
two Registers, are herewith forwarded

No 1094

X 2358 dy 4th Decr 1902 and 12th March 1903 respectively, from Nagasandra Appiah Setti & others complaining of the mismanagement by the Pujaries, of the Sri Siddalingeswaraswami Temple at Yediyur, Kunigal Taluk.

No 3848-56 dated 10th June 04 -

And :-

The follg Letter No 552 dy 2nd May 1904. from the Dy Commr, Tumkur Dt, reporting on the above.

(In extenso)

Begin "The temple of &c..... ends "management" - upto 9th Para.

Order thereon :-

The Dy Commissioner's

to the

a claim

for the

year 1886, the then Deputy

Commissioners refused to

interfere in the affairs

of the temple on the ground

that it was not a Muzrai

institution, apparently

losing sight of the late

Chief Commr's ruling

contained in Rev. No. 1591
Ex. 41

of the 7th May 1877 (re-affirmed

in Govt order No. 223-30
Mun. T. 11-96

of 6th August 1896). The temple

has in all 1416 acres of inam

lands, bearing a total

which

[illegible] the defen-ter

Commissioners' orders

of 1882 and 1886 have led

to gross mismanagement

with impunity till now

on the part of the Pujaries

who have been looking

upon the temple income

as a sort of family benefice.

In the absence of an

express order that the

temple was a Muzrai institu-

the Amildar, as appears

from his report, felt

that he could not put

Head of the

Prov. Police, Dec

to Dec 31

of affairs. May ever

Showing the Amildar the

temple jewels when asked

to do so, pretending that

the Key of the box containing

them was with one of

their partners who was

not in the station. The

Amildar says in his report

that this was a fictitious

story. It is not clear

what the income of the

temple is, but there is

evidence to show that

during

about

many

to mark so, there was

an income from Kaimkes

alone of Rs 241-1-4

in cash and of Rs 2433-4-0

in the shape of jewels,

total Rs 2674-5-4 (vide

Amildar's report). During

the annual car festival

the temple is also said

to get an income of Rs 500

or Rs 600. Besides these,

there is also the income

from the Jodi lands.

2. In these circumstances,

the Govt. direct that

the)

the Ten

be immediately ~~un~~

by the Mugrai department

and placed under the Amildar

of the Municipal Taluk for the

present. The Dy Comm.r

is requested to issue needful

instructions for the ~~th~~

and submit a detailed report

on the income of the Temple

& of its affairs generally

called for in view to enable

Govt. to regulate its financial

management. The result

of the action taken should also

be reported for information.

Submitted
may this be granted?

End.s papers in Case
R.C.

Dewan's order)

4/6

Asst. Secretary

To The Dy Comm.r, Tanjore &
" Retd Revn. Record Off. & the two Regs.
" Coimbr.e, Mayaveram & Kumbm.
" Dy Comm.rs of the other Dts. Tanjore.

Petitions Nos. 1094 and 23[illegible]
from Nagasandra Appiah Setti [illegible]nd
of the Sri Siddalingeswaraswami tem[illegible] [illegible]ajaris,

AND—

The following letter No. 552, dated 2nd May 19[illegible] the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reporting on the above.

"The temple of Sri Siddalingeswaraswami is si[illegible]d at Yediyur, Kunigal Taluk, and is endowed with the undermentioned Inam lands.—

Village.	Survey No	Class.	Extent	Assessment	Jodi.	Remarks
			A. G	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Yediyur	3	Dry ...	28 18	1 12 0	0 6 0	
	29	Do ..	5 10	5 0 0	1 2 0	
	59	Do ..	1 31	1 8 0	0 4 0	
	62	Do	4 32	4 8 0	1 0 0	
	78	Do ...	16 24	11 0 0	2 8 0	
	85	Do ...	6 4	6 0 0	1 13 0	
	68	Do ...	14 20	11 0 0		
	69	Do ..	24 27	20 0 0	..	Reported to be Sarvamanyam lands
	73	Do .	3 33	2 8 0		
	74	Do ...	21 20	11 0 0		
	89	Do .	3 25	12 0 0	12 0 0	
Thaggikuppe	30	Do and wet	6 6	12 0 0		
	52	Wet ..	1 39	9 0 0	11 0 0	Rs 5-13 2 is deducted on account of the money allowance and the balance Rs 11 alone confirmed
	58	Do ...	0 10	1 0 0		
Ragihalli	36	Kharab	14 4	..		

Though no mention of cash Tasdik is made in the Ready Money Inam Register, a sum of Rs. 5-13-2 is deducted from the Quit-rent payable on the Inam lands on account of money allowance (*vide* remark entered in the Muzrai Quit-rent Register of the Kunigal Taluk) against Survey Nos. 30, 52 and 58 of the Thaggikuppe village.

2. There are at present six Archaks, otherwise known as stanikas, performing the puja by turns and enjoying the Inam lands in proportion to their respective shares in the puja hak. Of these Inams Survey Nos. 36 and 74 are topes of Ippe and other trees, the produce of which goes to the temple.

3. Petitions are being presented to this office by the inhabitants of Yediyur, Haleoor and Gubbi, since 1902, complaining that the temple affairs are mismanaged by the Archaks and praying that the temple may be taken over under Government management.

4. In submitting the record of enquiry regarding the several allegations made against the Archaks, the Amildar of Kunigal states that —

I. The Archaks not having produced the ornaments for inspection when called upon to do so, it could not be ascertained what ornaments have been mortgaged by them as alleged in the petitions,

II. The stipulated services, for which pudavat funds were deposited by the devotees as also by the late Dalvai Nanjaraj Urs, are not being performed now;

III. Several of the Vahanas are in utter disrepair and no attempt has been made by the Archaks to repair them;

IV. Rank vegetation is allowed to grow in the temple,

...d and unserviceab

...upees during the annual car
...r own use,

VII Th... ...y Nos. 62 and 58 to be enjoyed by one Thotanna, a relative

VIII. The Archaks have fellea... ...oved several Ippe and other trees standing on Inams Survey Nos 36 and 74 wh... ...y have not replaced by new ones.

5. Upon complaints against the ...aks in the year 1882, the Amildar of the Taluk sent up a report to the Deputy Commissioner and by an order No 290, dated 26th July 1882, the Deputy Commissioner declined interference with the Archaks on the ground that the temple was not a Muzrai Institution The mismanagement of the affairs of the temple by the Archaks having again become the subject of an enquiry in the Taluk in the year 1885, the then Amildar appointed an Agent and five Panchayetdars and ordered two registers to be maintained, one for exhibiting the presents of jewels, etc, made by devotees and the other for the cash transactions. The keeping of accounts was entrusted to Narayen Iyengar, Shanbhog of Yediyur The management continued only for a year and four months The Archaks again complained of interference and the matter went up before the Deputy Commissioner who passed an order No 344, dated 19th August 1886, to affirm the order of 21st July 1882 On the strength of this order, the Archaks had the ornaments, etc, of the temple restored to them, as will appear from the Amildar's present report.

6 In a petition (paper No 4, File A) presented to this office by Virathaiya, one of the Archaks, he prays that the temple may, under the present state of things, be taken under Government management, but the remaining Archaks protest against it alleging that the temple is not a Muzrai Institution, as it does not receive a money grant, as held by the former Deputy Commissioners. This protest is untenable as Government Proceedings No. 223-30 of the 6th August 1896, based on the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1591—Gl 41 of the 16th May 1877, declares that temples enjoying only land Inams are as much subject to the supervision of the local Muzrai officers as those enjoying Ready money grants.

7. In the present instance, the temple enjoys Inam lands as well as a deduction of Rs 5-13-2 from the Quit-rent, which may be regarded as a substitute for money grant.

8. In these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the temple in question be under Government management."

No. 3848-56— DATED (CAMP, T. NARSIPUR), 10TH JUNE 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—The Deputy Commissioner's letter read above, contains a clear summary of the facts of the case. In 1882 and 1886, the then Deputy Commissioners refused to interfere in the affairs of the temple on the ground that it was not a Muzrai Institution, apparently losing sight of the late Chief Commissioner's ruling contained in Proceedings No. 1591—Gl. 41 of the 16th May 1877 (re-affirmed in Government Order No. 223-30—Muzrai F. 11-96, dated 6th August 1896). The temple has in all 146 acres of Inam lands, bearing a total assessment of Rs. 108-4-0, the Jodi payable on which is Rs. 30-1-0. The Deputy Commissioners' orders of 1882 and 1886 have led to gross mismanagement with impunity till now on the part of the Pujaris who have been looking upon the temple income as a sort of family benefice. In the absence of an express order that the temple was a Muzrai Institution, the Amildar, as appears from his report, felt that he could not put pressure on the Pujaris to disclose the real state of affairs. They evaded showing the Amildar the temple jewels when asked to do so, pretending that the key of the box containing them was with one of their partners, who was not in the station. The Amildar says in his report that this was a fictitious story. It is not clear what the income of the temple is, but there is evidence to show that during a period of about 10 months from January 1885 to May 1886, there was an income from *kanikes* alone of Rs 241-1-4 in cash and of Rs. 2,433-4-0 in the shape of jewels, total Rs. 2,674-5-4 (*vide* Amildar's report) During the annual car festival the temple is also said to get an income of Rs. 500 or Rs. 600. Besides these, there is also the income from the Jodi lands.

...d these circum.
...ple should be immedi
the Amildar of the Kun
requested to issue needful ins
the income of the temple and of it
Government to regulate its financial
should also be reported for informa on.

...is
port on
...w to enable
...ction taken

Draft

Offg. Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning vernacular records and two Registers.

The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, for information.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

EXD.—G. S.

MENT OF HIS
AHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 10th June 1904

No. 3848-56

Directing the assumption by Government in the Muzrai Department of Sri Siddalingeswaraswamy temple at Yediyur, Kunigal Taluk, with its endowments.

SBP—2-7-04—200

no 355
20/7/04.

Misc F. 52-96

ಇದು

ಇದೆ

ಕಡತ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಅಧಿ-

By order

24/7/14

Secretary to Govt

Mines & Geology

19/7/14